United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,993	06/27/2001	Kelly R. Brown	ETH-1567	3764	
27614 7590 08/10/2007 MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOUR GATEWAY CENTER	•	FUBARA, BLESSING M			
100 MULBERRY STREET NEWARK, NJ 07102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/892,993	BROWN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blessing M. Fubara	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	_	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 /	April 2007.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	for election requirement	· · · · · ·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form F10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		and the state of				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of request for extension of time, amendment and remarks filed 10/10/2006. New claim 33 is added. Claims 26-28 are amended. Claims 26-33 are pending.

Previous rejections and objections that are not reiterated herein are withdrawn.

Response to Amendment to the Specification

Amendment to the specification is not entered because the amendment is adding to the specification more than what is originally disclosed by way of the drawings. For example, disclosing that the "discrete layer of the porous ceramic phase is positioned on the top of the interphase region, ...on the bottom of the interphase region" appears to be adding more than what is originally disclosed. Hence, amendment to the specification is not entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

Art Unit: 1618

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 26-28 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niederauer et al. ("Evaluation of multiphase implants for repair of focal osteochondral defects in goats," in Biomaterials, Vol. 21, Issue 24, pp 2561-2574, 15 Dec. 2000, cited in applicant's specification at paragraph [0008] of the published application).

Niederauer describes the use of biodegradable multiphase scaffold for repair of articular cartilage (abstract); the multiphase scaffold comprises polymer and ceramic phases (Table 1 and 3rd and 4th full paragraphs, left column of page 2563) meeting the claimed scaffold having a ceramic and polymer phase; the phases are glued together using a solvent (page 2563, first three lines of text in right column) representing the discrete phases of scaffold of the claims and also meets claim 33; boring a receptacle space at the gradient junction of the site of injury as recited in claim 26-28 read on the experimental design of Niederauer where defect sites are made in the right and left stifles and bilateral arthrotomies performed to place the implants (paragraph 2.4 at page 2564); the scaffold is implanted into the prepared knees. Niederauer does is silent on placing the ceramic phase next to the bony tissue and placing the polymer phase next to the cartilage tissue. However, it is known in the art that ceramics closely resemble constituents of natural bone. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Niederauer to repair articular cartilage by placing the ceramic phase of the scaffold next to the bony tissue since the ceramic material closely resembles the bony tissue so that the bony tissue would grow into the ceramic tissue during the repair process.

Art Unit: 1618

4. Claims 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niederauer et al. ("Evaluation of multiphase implants for repair of focal osteochondral defects in goats," in Biomaterials, Vol. 21, Issue 24, pp 2561-2574, 15 Dec. 2000, cited in applicant's specification at paragraph [0008] of the published application) in view of Vyakarnam et al. (US 6,306,424).

Niederauer is discussed above for rendering prima facie obvious claims 26-28 and 33. While Niederauer describes a scaffold that is made up of porous polymer phase and porous ceramic phase, Niederauer does not describe any of the porous phases as foamed material. However it is known to use porous and foamed scaffold for repair or regeneration of tissues as taught in Vyakarnam (column 1, lines 17-21 and Title) and the porous structures are formed by lyophilization (column 4, lines 11-24). The foamed scaffold meets the limitation of claim 29; lyophilization to make foamed structure meets claims 30-32. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form porous foamed scaffold structure by lyophilization with the expectation of obtaining organization at the microstructural level that facilitates tissue repair/regeneration.

No claim is allowed.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1618

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. (Monday to Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blessing Fubara Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 1600

SREENI PADMANASHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER